

# Innovations in Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish pronouns AJS 2020

Zoë Belk, <sup>1</sup> Lily Kahn, <sup>2</sup> Kriszta Szendrői, <sup>1</sup> Sonya Yampolskaya <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Linguistics, University College London <sup>2</sup>Department of Hebrew and Jewish Studies, University College London

December 16, 2020





# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Personal pronouns
- 3 Possessives
  - Dependent possessives
  - Independent possessives
- 4 Demonstratives
- 5 Conclusions





#### Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Personal pronouns
- 3 Possessives
  - Dependent possessives
  - Independent possessives
- 4 Demonstratives
- 5 Conclusions





# Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish (CHY)

- Native and daily language of <1,000,000 Haredi (largely Hasidic) speakers</p>
- Major centres include the New York City area and upstate New York, various communities in Israel, Stamford Hill (London) and Manchester in the UK, Antwerp and the Montreal area
- ullet Grammatically distinct from pre-War and Standard varieties ightarrow absence of morphological case and gender in full nominals (Belk et al., 2020, Under review)

# 'Vos' vs. 'vus'

- Most participants do not use geographical terms to describe their dialect
- Rather, they distinguish between those who speak 'vos', and those who speak 'vus'
  - 'Vos': Northeastern vowel profile (i.e. יואס 'what' pronounced /vos/); spoken by Chabad, 'Litvish' and Yerushalayimer¹speakers.
  - 'Vus': Mid-/Southeastern vowel profile, (i.e. יואס 'what' pronounced /vus/); spoken by most other speakers
  - Some speakers use a mixed vowel profile
- 'Vos' and 'vus' speakers' grammars are much more similar to each other than they are to pre-War varieties (Belk et al., Under review); we consider them both part of CHY

¹This term refers to a distinct dialect of Yiddish dating back ~200 yrs with roots in NE Yiddish. It is spoken in Jerusalem, but not all Yiddish speakers from Jerusalem speak this dialect.

# This study

- 29 native CHY speakers from the New York area (15), Israel (5), Stamford Hill (5), the Montreal area (2), Antwerp (2)
- Wide range of Hasidic and non-Hasidic Haredi affiliations
- 3 native speaker consultants, from Stamford Hill and Israel
- Oral translation from English or Modern Hebrew to CHY
- Total of 151 items split over three lists
- Items target personal pronouns in all case, gender, person and number contexts, dependent and independent possessives of all persons, dependent and independent proximal and distal demonstratives in all case, gender and number contexts, as well as some other factors not discussed here
- some other factors not discussed here
  Interviews transcribed in ELAN by native or fluent Yiddish speakers



# **Table of Contents**

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Personal pronouns
- 3 Possessives
  - Dependent possessives
  - Independent possessives
- 4 Demonstratives
- 5 Conclusions





# Standard Yiddish

Table: Case and gender marking on personal pronouns in pre-War/Standard Yiddish

		Singular Nом	Acc	Dat	Plural Nом	Acc	Dat
1st		ikh	mikh	mir	mir	un	dz
2nd	Fam Hon	du ir	dikh ay	dir kh	ir	ay	kh
3rd	Masc Fem Neur <sup>2</sup>	er z es	i	n ir im		zey	

(Adapted from Kahn 2016)

<sup>2</sup>3N has been replaced by a 3INAN pronoun. We do not discuss this issue here as data are pending.



# Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish

Table: Mixed 'vus' paradigm

		Singular Nом	Овј	Plural Nом	ОвЈ
1st		ikh	mikh/mir	un	dz
2nd	Fam Hon	du ir	dikh/dir aykh	enk/a ir	aykh <sup>3</sup> aykh
3rd	Masc Fem	er zi	em ir/zi	Z	ey .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We have relatively little data on speakers who use *aykh* in the second person. There appear to be some speakers who use *aykh* as a 2HON pronoun, in contrast to 2PL.FAM *enk*. On the other hand, there appear to be some speakers who use it as a 2PL pronoun; we have limited data on the 2HON for these speakers.



- No ACC/DAT distinction anywhere
- No NOM/OBJ distinction in PL or, for some speakers, 3FS
- Variation in pronoun inventory (e.g. enk/aykh, ir/zi, mikh/mir, dikh/dir)
- SY *im* is *em* for all 'vus' speakers



# Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish

Table: 'Vos' paradigm with 1PL mir and 2PL ir

		Singular	_	Plural	_
		Nом	Овј	Nом	Овј
1st		ikh	mir	mir	undz
2nd	Fam Hon	du ir	dir aykh	ir	aykh
3rd	Masc Fem	er zi	em, im ir	Z	ey



- More conservative than 'vus' speakers
  - 1PL *mir* in NOM
  - ir/aykh rather than enk or aykh/aykh
- Single 1sg and 2sg objective form (although see Jacobs 2005 for a suggestion that this already existed in NE Yiddish)
- Zi in NOM, ir in OBJ
- most speakers use em for 3Ms rather than more conservative im; one speaker produces some tokens of im



#### Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Personal pronouns
- 3 Possessives
  - Dependent possessives
  - Independent possessives
- 4 Demonstratives
- 5 Conclusions





# Standard Yiddish

Table: Pre-War/Standard Yiddish dependent possessive pronouns

		Sg. pos	ssessor	Pl. possessor	
		Sg.	PI.	Sg.	PI.
		possessum	possessum	possessum	possessum
1st		mayn	mayne	undzer	undzere
2nd	Fam Hon	dayn ayer	dayne ayere	ayer	ayere
3rd	Masc Fem Neut	zayn ir zayn	zayne ire zayne	zeyer	zeyere



# Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish

Table: Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish dependent possessive pronouns

		Sg. pos	ssessor	Pl. possessor	
		Sg.	PI.	Sg.	PI.
		possessum	possessum	possessum	possessum
1st		mayn	mayne	undzer	undzere
2nd	Fam Hon	dayn ayer	dayne ayere	enker/ayer ayer	enkere/ayere ayere
3rd	Masc Fem	zayn ir	zayne ire/zire	zeyer	zeyere



- Singular/plural possessum distinction surprisingly robust; differs from attributive adjectives and definite determiners, neither of which inflect for number (or case or gender)
- 2PL enker-: existed in pre-War dialects, but now nearly universal among 'vus' speakers
- 3FS zir- attested but much rarer (largely only among those who use objective zi, i.e. a subset of 'vus' speakers)



# Standard Yiddish

Table: Pre-War/Standard Yiddish independent 1sg possessive pronoun forms

	Masc	FEM	NEUT	PL
Nom	mayner	mayne	mayns	mayne
Acc	maynem	mayne	mayns	
Dat	maynem	mayner	maynem	



# Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish

Table: Independent possessive pronouns in Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish

		E-forms		S-forms	
		Singular	Plural	Singular	Plural
1st		mayne	undzere	mayns	undzers
2nd	Fам	dayne	enkere (ayere)	dayns	enkers (ayers)
	Hon	ayere	ayere	ayers	ayers
3rd	Masc Fem	zayne ire (zire)	zeyere	zayns irs	zeyers



- Two distinct forms, but no differentiation in terms of case, gender, number, etc.
- Most speakers use both forms in seemingly free variation
- Others (primarily Israelis and 'vos' speakers) use only the -e forms
- None use only -s forms
- Very few tokens of Ø forms



# Innovations in Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish possessives

Distinct patterns for dependent and independent possessives:

- Dependent
  - Distinguish between singular (-Ø) and plural (-e) possessa
    - → unlike attributive adjectives
- Independent
  - No case/gender morphology
  - Do not distinguish between singular and plural possessa
  - Two variants, often used interchangeably: -e and -s





# **Table of Contents**

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Personal pronouns
- 3 Possessives
  - Dependent possessives
  - Independent possessives
- 4 Demonstratives
- 5 Conclusions





# Standard Yiddish

Table: Pre-War/Standard Yiddish proximal demonstratives

MASC         FEM         NEUT         PL           NOM         der         di         dos           ACC         dem         di         dos         di           DAT         dem         der         dem					
Acc dem di dos di		Masc	FEM	NEUT	PL
	Acc	dem	di	dos	di

#### Table: Pre-War/Standard Yiddish distal demonstratives

	Masc	FEM	NEUT	PL
Nom	yener	yene	yens	yene
Acc	yenem	yene	yens	
Dat	yenem	yene	yenem	



#### **Demonstratives**

Table: Patterns of demonstrative use in Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish

	Dependent	Independent Nом	Овј
Pattern 1	deye yene		deye vene
Pattern 2	deye/dey	deys	deye
	yene	yens	yene
Pattern 3	deye/dey	dos	deye
	yene	yens	yene
Pattern 4	di/der/dem	dos	dos/dem
	yene/yener/yenem	yene/ yens	yene/yenem



- D-stems are much more widespread than English this, Modern Hebrew (ha)ze, (ha)ele; y-stems are used primarily for contrast
- 'Vos' speakers usually use more conservative Pattern 4, i.e. no distinct d-stem
- 'Vus' speakers have innovative dedicated d-stem some variation in actual stem (although see Krogh 2012; Assouline 2014 for mention of this stem)

- Dependent demonstratives:
  - have no case, gender or number distinctions
    - → unlike dependent possessives
  - have variable -e suffix on d-stem
    - → unlike attributive adjectives
- Independent demonstratives:
  - have a case distinction: -s forms are used only in the nominative, most participants use -e forms only or mostly in objective
    - → look like pronouns
  - have no gender or number marking
  - have no bare form





# **Table of Contents**

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Personal pronouns
- 3 Possessives
  - Dependent possessives
  - Independent possessives
- 4 Demonstratives
- 5 Conclusions





# Summary

- Simplification of personal pronoun paradigm
  - Except second person, which features innovative 2PL/2HON split
- System appears to be in flux: inter- and intraspeaker variation
- Possessives
  - Dependent possessive morphology largely unchanged, some innovations in stems
  - Independent possessives have lost case/gender inflection but reanalysed some inflectional endings (particularly -s) as a marker of independent/dependent distinction
  - Number marking in dependent but not (consistently) in independent possessives



# Summary

- Demonstratives
  - Innovation of distinct 'distal' demonstrative stem, some variation in form
  - Absence of consistent case/gender/number marking on all demonstratives
  - Distal/proximal distinction unlike that of English, Modern Hebrew or pre-War varieties
  - Independent possessives look like pronouns, dependents are distinct from determiners, attributives, possessives
- Younger 'vos' speakers look more like 'vus' speakers than their older counterparts (consistent with Belk et al. Under review)
- None of these innovations appears to be due to contact!





# Acknowledgments

#### Thanks to:

- Eli Benedict, for data collection, transcription, and much discussion
- Shiffy Hiley, for data collection and discussion
- Izzy Posen, for discussion
- Our (very patient!) participants

This research is funded by the UK Arts and Humanities Research Council.



- Assouline, D. (2014). Language change in a bilingual community: The preposition far in Israeli Haredi Yiddish. In M. Aptroot and B. Hansen (Eds.), Yiddish Language Structures, pp. 39–62. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Belk, Z., L. Kahn, and K. E. Szendrői (2020). Complete loss of case and gender within two generations: Evidence from Stamford Hill Hasidic Yiddish. Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics 23, 271–326.
- Belk, Z., L. Kahn, and K. E. Szendrői (Under review). Absence of morphological case and gender marking in Contemporary Hasidic Yiddish worldwide. Journal of Germanic Linguistics. Under review.
- Jacobs, N. G. (2005). Yiddish: A Linguistic Introduction. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kahn, L. (2016). Yiddish. In L. Kahn and A. D. Rubin (Eds.), Handbook of Jewish Languages, pp. 639-747. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Krogh, S. (2012). How Satmarish is Haredi Satmar Yiddish? In M. Aptroot, E. Gal-Ed, R. Gruschka, and S. Neuberg (Eds.), Leket: Yiddish Studies Today, pp. 483–508. Düsseldorf: Düsseldorf